PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 2 9 AUG 2005

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P1865PC00		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/PEA/416							
PC1/F12004/000345 07.06.2004		International filing date 07.06.2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 05.06.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC D21H23/22, D21H21/16, D21H23/70									
Applicant METSO PAPER, INC.									
1.	Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2.	This REPORT	consists of a total of	of 4 sheets, including	his cover sheet.					
3.	I his report is al	so accompanied b	y ANNEXES, comprisi	ng:					
	a. ⊔ sent to t	he applicant and to	o the International Bure	eau) a total of sheets,	as follows:				
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).								
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.								
	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).								
4.	4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:								
ı	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opin	nion						
	☐ Box No. II	Priority							
	☐ Box No. III	•	ent of opinion with reas	ard to novolty inventive	e step and industrial applicability				
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	nvention	ind to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
	Box No. VI	Certain docume	nts cited						
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects i	n the international app	lication	•				
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application									
Date of submission of the demand				Date of completion of the	nis report				
01.04.2005				30.08.2005					
Name	e and mailing addre ninary examining au	ss of the international	l	Authorized Officer					
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016				Songy, O Telephone No. +31 70	. Pelagrap				

WITERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/FI2004/000345

_							
_	Вс	x No. I	Basis of the r	eport			
 With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language, this report is based on the international application in the language. 					on the international application in the language in which it wa		
2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):					application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which		
	Des	scription	, Pages				
	1-1	7		as originally filed			
Claims, Numbers							
	1-34	1-34 as		as originally filed			
Drawings, Sheets							
	1-9			as originally filed			
		a seque	ence listing and/	or any related table(s)	- see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.	 □ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: □ the description, pages □ the claims, Nos. □ the drawings, sheets/figs □ the sequence listing (specify): □ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): 						
4.	☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
	* .	If ite	n 4 applies,	some or all of	these sheets may be marked "superseded."		



International application No. PCT/FI2004/000345

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

8 13-20 29-34

No: Claims

1-7 9-12 21-28

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

8 13 14 16-20 29-34

No: Claims

1-7 9-12 15 21-28

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-34

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

PCT/FI2004/000345

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-3 850 673 (NELSON S) 26 November 1974 (1974-11-26)

D2: US-A-4 340 011 (WAHREN DOUGLAS ET AL) 20 July 1982 (1982-07-20)

D3: WO 03/004770 A (GROEN JOHAN ; LIPPONEN JUHA (FI); METSO PAPER INC (FI)) 16 January 2003 (2003-01-16)

1 Independent claim 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses a method for the sizing of a paper web, in which the sizing is applied to the paper which is then passed over a vacuum belt (see the relevant parts cited in the search report). The fact that the size is forced to penetrate in the web by means of the pressure effect is an implicit feature of D1.

2 Independent claim 21

The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claim 21, which therefore is also considered not new.

3 Dependent claims 2-7, 9-12,15, 21-28 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents D1, D2, D3. and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.